

CALAFCO STAFF WORKSHOP

"Waldo"



LAFCO EO

"Mort"



City Planner

"Gwen"



City Manager

The Players

"Marilyn"



**County Supervisor/
Commissioner**

(whose district was far from annexation area)

"Ricardo"



**County Supervisor/
Commissioner**

(whose district included annexation area)



Scenario #1:

**Should the risk of a lawsuit
be considered in your review
and recommendation?**

**What happens when your
consultant changes teams?**

Scenario 1: Lawsuit a factor?

- An annexation of a specific plan that will likely be litigated
- Good possibility that developer will win the lawsuit
- A strong, organized, vocal opposition to the annexation
- Counsel advises approval because the potential for losing lawsuit is so great
- A water supply situation that is questionable, but manageable
- Water consultant hired review water info; changes teams
- After providing the Commission with a report; consultant contracts with applicant/developer

winnet



Scenario #2:

**Caught in the middle:
the politics of water, wine, and
wondering what to do?**

Scenario 2: Caught in the Middle

- SGMA requires local management of “critical” basins
- PR Basin has many players/interests; vineyards, wineries, city users, rural users, cowboys and cowgirls, adjudicators, and Harvard Corporation and Pom Wonderful, farmers
- Exceedingly polarized/low trust levels: Who’s water are you using? Where are you moving the water? Is the basin in overdraft? Quiet Title/Adjudication and SGMA/GSP are concurrent

Scenario 2: Caught in the Middle

- Solution: Give everybody a seat at the GSA table: grazers/farmers district, vineyard/winegrowers district, county represents other land owners, City and CSD are at the table
- Created voluntary districts opt in/opt out districts; a menu for lanowners to choose from- join a district or don't join-County represents you if you don't



**KEEP
CALM
YOU CAN'T MAKE
EVERYBODY
HAPPY**